Optimizing Agricultural Accuracy through Digital Technologies: Socioeconomic Impacts on Indian Farmers

Niraj Prakash¹, Dr. A Sajeevan Rao², Dr. Ashish Kumar Awasthi ³, Sneha Maurya⁴

¹Research scholar, Department of Business Management, Himalayan University, Arunachal Pradesh,

²Assistant Professor, Department of Business Management, Himalayan University, Arunachal Pradesh,

³Assistant Professor, Department of Agriculture, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh,

⁴Department of Business Management, Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, Jaunpur, Uttar Pradesh.

ABSTRACT

Digital technology use in agriculture is now essential for improving accuracy, making the most use of resources, and raising farm productivity. This study investigates how Indian agriculture is integrating digital resources, such as real-time weather forecasting, satellite-based crop monitoring, and precision farming technologies. With an emphasis on how these technologies enhance agricultural accuracy, the study looks at how digital solutions help Indian farmers make better decisions, use their resources more effectively, and maximize their production. In addition, the study explores the socioeconomic implications of digital adoption, examining how it might raise farm earnings, lower input prices, and promote sustainable agricultural methods. An investigation of farmers in Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, and Bihar—four important agricultural states—offers insights on the advantages and difficulties of digital transformation. Findings emphasize the promise of digital technologies to uplift smallholder farmers while also highlighting the obstacles to their widespread adoption, such as a lack of digital literacy, infrastructure gaps, and budgetary limits.

Key Words: Agricultural Accuracy, Digital Agriculture, Farming, Socioeconomic

I. Introduction

India started a number of agricultural reforms after gaining independence in 1947 in an effort to increase food security and enhance the living conditions of farmers. Agriculture has expanded thanks to the use of chemical-based fertilizers, high-yielding wheat and rice varieties (HYVs), and modern irrigation methods. The 1960s Green Revolution represented a paradigm change in the advancement of agriculture. Consequently, food grain output skyrocketed, allowing India to become food self-sufficient. But the Green Revolution also brought with it regional inequities, harmful environmental repercussions, and an overreliance on particular crops.

i. Agricultural in India

Almost half of India's workforce is employed in agriculture, which also makes up a sizable portion of the nation's GDP. But even with its critical importance, India's agriculture sector still has a lot of issues. These issues have grown increasingly complicated in the digital and technologically advanced era of 2024, impacting not just the livelihoods of millions of farmers but also the country's food security. Another consequence of climate change is a rise in temperature. Crop yields may be negatively impacted by rising temperatures, particularly for heat-sensitive crops like wheat. In many parts of India, the rising temperatures have already resulted in lower wheat harvests. This is a major concern because wheat is a staple crop for a large portion of the Indian population. Over the past few decades, Indian agriculture has

evolved, with a greater focus now being placed on horticulture, dairy farming, and fisheries. The government has put in place a range of initiatives, including crop insurance, credit facilities, and subsidies, to help farmers. However, challenges including water shortages, climate change, and the need for sustainable farming practices will persist in shaping the future of Indian agriculture.

India's agricultural growth is a testament to the adaptability and resilience of its people. India's economy and culture have always been based on agriculture, from the era of the ancient Indus Valley civilizations to the present issues. Without a doubt, as the country expands and changes, the agricultural industry will play a crucial role in deciding its future.

| | | | (Produc | tion in Million Tonnes) |
|-----------------------------|----------------|------------|--|--|
| Crops/Groups of Crops | States | Production | Per cent Share of Production to All India | Cumulative per cent Share of Production |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Foodgrains | | | | |
| Rice | Telangana | 16.63 | 12.17 | 12.17 |
| | Uttar Pradesh | 15.72 | 11.50 | 23.67 |
| | West Bengal | 15.12 | 11.06 | 34.73 |
| Wheat | Uttar Pradesh | 35.43 | 31.38 | 31.38 |
| | Madhya Pradesh | 21.28 | 18.84 | 50.22 |
| | Punjab | 17.78 | 15.75 | 65.97 |
| Maize | Karnataka | 5.49 | 15.39 | 15.39 |
| | Bihar | 4.61 | 12.93 | 28.32 |
| | Madhya Pradesh | 4.33 | 12.14 | 40.46 |
| Total Nutri/ Coarse Cereals | Rajasthan | 8.03 | 14.66 | 14.66 |
| | Karnataka | 7.61 | 13.90 | 28.56 |
| | Madhya Pradesh | 5.49 | 10.02 | 38.58 |
| Tur | Karnataka | 1.02 | 30.13 | 30.13 |
| | Maharashtra | 0.86 | 25.41 | 55.54 |
| | Uttar Pradesh | 0.38 | 11.23 | 66.77 |
| Gram | Madhya Pradesh | 3.19 | 27.52 | 27.52 |
| | Maharashtra | 2.86 | 24.72 | 52.24 |
| | Rajasthan | 2.23 | 19.31 | 71.55 |
| Total Pulses | Madhya Pradesh | 6.18 | 25.23 | 25.23 |
| | Maharashtra | 4.00 | 16.33 | 41.56 |
| | Rajasthan | 3.63 | 14.83 | 56.39 |
| Total Foodgrains | Uttar Pradesh | 59.29 | 18.03 | 18.03 |
| | Madhya Pradesh | 39.84 | 12.12 | 30.15 |
| | Punjab | 32.59 | 9.91 | 40.06 |

Fig 1.1: Agricultural Yield in Different States

@ Production in million bales of 170 kg. each. \$ Production in million bales of 180 kg. each.

* As per 3rd Advance Estimates

* From the year 2022-23, for agriculural and Commercial Crops, the rabi season has been seggregatged into Rabi & Summer. The summer production will be included in 3rd Advance Estimates.

ii. Youth Disengagement from agriculture

India's economy has always relied heavily on agriculture, which provides jobs for millions of people and maintains the nation's food security. But there's a significant shift happening. An increasing proportion of India's youth are disinterested in farming as a career. The nation's agricultural future is significantly impacted by young people's disengagement from farming. This essay explores the reasons for this increasing indifference and explores potential consequences for India's agricultural sector.

The attraction of urbanization and other professional prospects is one of the main reasons behind young people's disinterest in farming. Because of how quickly cities are growing and how quickly the industrial and service sectors are expanding, many young people believe that there are more prospects for success in metropolitan areas. As more and more young people from rural areas relocate to cities in pursuit of better employment opportunities, higher earnings, and a more modern lifestyle, the number of young individuals keen to pursue a career in farming is dropping. Many people believe that agriculture is a labor-intensive, low-paying sector of the economy. The unpredictability of farming, which is heavily dependent on variations in the weather and the market, is another cause for anxiety. On the other side, careers in technology, finance, healthcare, and other sectors offer more recognition, stability, and opportunities for growth.

The greater educational attainment of rural adolescents contributes to the decline in interest in farming. More access to education exposes young people to a wider variety of work options outside of agriculture. They seek jobs that pay more, offer a more luxurious lifestyle, and have more social standing. Regretfully, farming is often viewed as a low-status profession with limited prospects for growth. Moreover, many educational institutions do not promote agriculture as a viable and meaningful career option in their curricula. The inability of the educational system to expose youth to modern agricultural concepts and methods further distances them from the farming community. As a result, farming is not seen as a career of choice but rather as a last resort for those who have no other possibilities.

Changes in rural India's social and cultural context also contribute to young people's disinterest in farming. Farming has always been viewed as a family-oriented profession that is passed from a generation to the next. But this tradition is decreasing as nuclear families become more common and as social dynamics shift. The younger generation is less likely to carry on the family agricultural tradition since they are more affected by modern ideals and goals.

iii. New trends in farming in India

India has been adopting modern methods of agriculture by analyzing other countries. India has been affected by the complex irrigation techniques, precision farming, and technology-driven agriculture of nations like Israel, the Netherlands, and the United States. Using drones to monitor crops, drip irrigation, and greenhouse farming are a few methods that Indian farmers have used to increase output and efficiency.

These developments have helped India become a major exporter on the international market in addition to increasing its agricultural output. Today, the nation exports a wide range of agricultural goods to many other nations, such as rice, spices, fruits, and vegetables. This change not only strengthens India's economy but also establishes it as a major participant in the world's agricultural commerce. The two main forces behind India's changing agricultural landscape are the adoption of contemporary techniques and an emphasis on sustainable farming methods.

In agriculture, India and Israel have a strategic partnership at the G2G level. Based on a Memorandum of Understanding signed in 2006, this collaboration developed into the INDO-ISRAEL Agricultural Project. The project aims to maximize water use efficiency, increase productivity, and introduce crop diversity. The IIAP was put into practice by creating Centers of Excellence (CoE), where Israeli agricultural technologies and expertise were shared and adapted to the specific needs of the Indian context.

Drip irrigation, sophisticated filtration, sophisticated water leak detection, rainwater collecting and treatment systems, and water security technologies are a few of the systems that Israel has invented. Since the two nations' diplomatic relations were established in 1992, Israel has collaborated extensively with regional partners in India to disseminate its knowledge and technical know-how to Indian farmers.

Inc42 projects that by 2025, the Indian agriculture industry will generate US\$ 24 billion. The food and grocery market in India is the sixth largest globally, with 70% of sales coming from retail. The entire foodgrain production in the nation is projected to be 148.5 million tonnes based on the First Advance Estimates for 2023–24 (Kharif alone). In 2022–2023 the rabi crop area grew from 709.09 lakh hectares to 709.29 lakh hectares. The problem statement, which takes into account a number of important factors, is titled "A Study of the Adoption of Digital Technologies and Its Impact on Optimizing Accuracy in Agricultural Practices and Its Socioeconomic Impact on Farmers in India." This emphasis results from the necessity of addressing the enduring issues that Indian agriculture faces, such as low productivity, inefficient use of resources, and differences in income amongst farmers. This research attempts to comprehend how digital technology can improve farming precision, resulting in higher crop yields, lower expenses, and more sustainable practices. It does this by examining the use of these tools. The research will also look at the wider socioeconomic implications of digitalization on farmers, especially small-scale landowners, in an effort to ascertain how technology may help them make a better living and fight poverty in India.

II. Literature Review

Javaid et al. (2022) highlighted the importance of data-driven methods in improving crop cultivation and resource use, noting how big data is transforming agriculture by making use of previously untapped supply chain data. Digital harvesters can manage vast agricultural areas more efficiently, tailored to crop types and growth conditions. Carrer et al. (2022) assessed factors influencing the adoption of Precision Agriculture Technologies (PATs) among Brazilian sugarcane farmers, using a meta-frontier production technique. Larger farm size, better education, and technical assistance positively influence PAT adoption, which improves technological efficiency. Goswami et al. (2023) explored the digital transformation of Indian agriculture, influenced by small-scale farming, commodity crops, and market access. The role of public and private sectors, along with IT advancements, is key, though implementation across India remains a challenge due to governance and state-specific concerns.

Balkrishna et al. (2023) provided an analysis of Indian agricultural ICT projects, noting that despite India's agrarian economy, digital solutions are still in the early stages. A large number of Farm Management Information Systems (FMIS) exist, but most are redundant or duplicates of global systems, with few crop-specific applications. Singh et al. (2023) discussed how digital technology has revolutionized agricultural extension services, offering tools like smartphones, data analytics, and sensors that enhance real-time communication and decision-making, greatly improving agricultural productivity and sustainability.

In another work, Singh et al. (2023) examined the transformative role of precision farming and technologies like AI, IoT, and robotics in Indian agriculture. These innovations help optimize resource use and increase productivity, but challenges remain in scaling their adoption. Fuentes-Peñailillo et al. (2024) focus on smart crop management using digital agriculture technologies like AI, IoT, and remote sensing to monitor crop health in real time. These tools improve decision-making related to irrigation, fertilization, and pest control, enhancing sustainability. Saikanth et al. (2024) emphasize how AI, IoT, blockchain, and other technologies are transforming rural livelihoods, market access, and resource management in agriculture. They also highlight issues like data privacy and the digital divide, stressing the need for equitable access and responsible adoption of these technologies.

The researcher identifies several key perspectives on agriculture and digitalization, based on a literature review. First, the rapid advancements in digital technologies post-COVID present a unique opportunity to study their impact on Indian agriculture. While existing studies have focused on the technological aspects, there is a notable gap in research that assesses the effects of digitalization on farmers' profitability. Additionally, although some studies explore digitalization in Indian agriculture and suggest AI applications, there is a need for research focused on general farming practices and their digital integration. Furthermore, while advanced technologies like hydroponics and satellite-based weather forecasting have

been recognized, a more comprehensive study on how digitalization impacts practical farming in India will fill the existing research gap.

III. Research Methodology

For a study on the adoption of digital technologies and their impact on optimizing agricultural accuracy and socioeconomic outcomes for farmers in India, a combination of quantitative and qualitative data is essential. Key data categories include farmer demographics (age, education, farm size) to assess how these factors influence technology adoption, and agricultural productivity metrics (yields, input costs, labor productivity) to evaluate improvements from digital tools. Data on technology usage (adoption rates, frequency, access) and its socioeconomic impacts (income, debt, market access) will help measure financial and social benefits. Additionally, climate-related information (weather patterns, pest management) will assess how digital tools mitigate agricultural risks. Government policies, digital infrastructure, and literacy data are also necessary to understand the broader context of adoption. Qualitative insights, such as farmer perceptions, challenges, and case studies, will provide depth to the analysis, while examining community impacts and gender dynamics will offer a holistic view of the technology's effects. Based on the research problem, a hypothesis will be developed to guide the collection and feasibility of this data.

Objectives

The researcher has proposed following objectives for the present study:

- To study the agricultural issues in India.
- To study the digitalization in the agriculture in India.
- To study the scope of digitalization in improving agriculture in India
- To study the impact of digitalization aspects on farmers wealth.

Hypothesis:

Based upon the literature review and objectives the researcher has following hypothesis:

Ho1: There is no significant relationship between agricultural mobile app and farming success and profitability

H₀2: There is no significant relationship between digitalization of agricultural finance and farming success and profitability

 H_03 : There is no significant relationship between digitalization of agricultural decision making and farming success and profitability

Ho4: There is no significant relationship between digitalization of market access and farming success and profitability

H₀**5:** There is no significant relationship between digitalization of routine agricultural tasks and farming success and profitability

Population: For your thesis on the adoption of digital technologies in agricultural practices and their socioeconomic impact on farmers in India, the study population should primarily focus on farmers, including small, medium, and large-scale farmers who have adopted or are adopting digital technologies. Additional populations include agricultural experts and consultants who help implement these technologies, government officials involved in digital farming programs, agri-tech providers offering solutions like apps and sensors, and NGOs or cooperatives promoting tech adoption among marginalized farmers. By focusing on specific regions, crops, or technological solutions, you can capture the economic

and agricultural diversity in India. However, farmers are the most suitable group for this research, as they are directly impacted and can provide first-hand insights into the effects of digitalization on farming.

Sampling:

The study focuses on three key states in India—Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Haryana, and Punjab—due to the challenge of covering the entire country. In Uttar Pradesh, known for its fertile plains, the districts of Sambhal and Basti have been chosen. Bihar, with high population density but slow economic growth due to natural disasters like floods, includes Bhojpur and Saharsa as study areas. Haryana, industrially advanced yet agriculturally developed, has Karnal and Jind as selected districts, while Punjab, known for large-scale farming, includes Mansa and Bhatinda. A convenience sampling method has been employed, with a total sample size of 500 respondents, evenly distributed across the four states. The questionnaire was developed based on a pilot survey.

Digital technology including the Internet, mobile technologies and devices, data analytics, artificial intelligence, digitally-delivered services and apps—are changing agriculture and the food system. Digital technologies can also help governments improve the efficiency and effectiveness of existing policies and programmes, and to design better ones. For instance, freely available and high-quality satellite imagery dramatically reduces the cost of monitoring many agricultural activities. This could allow governments to move towards more targeted policies which pay (or penalise) farmers based on observed environmental outcomes. In addition to monitoring compliance with environmental policies, digital technologies can support trade in agriculture and food products, by connecting private sector suppliers to new markets, and enabling new ways for governments to monitor and ensure compliance with standards and to provide faster and more efficient border procedures that are essential for perishable products. The researcher has found following variables for the study.

1- Digitalization of routine agricultural tasks

2- Use of Agricultural Mobile Application (Kisan Suvidha, IFFCO Kisan Agriculture App, Shetkari Mitra, Pusa Krishi App etc.)

- 3- Availing Government Subsidies Agricultural Finance (Agricultural finance, Kishan Credit)
- 4- Data-Driven Agricultural Decision Making (Nature of crop, fertilizers, Pesticide, crop insurance etc.)
- 5- Market Access (Digital sales of crop, e-choupal)
- 6- Profitability of Farmers

| Dependent Variable (Tentative) | Independent variables (Tentative) | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | agricultural mobile app | |
| | digitalization of agricultural finance | |
| Farming success and profitability | digitalization of agricultural decision making | |
| | digitalization of market access | |
| | digitalization of routine agricultural tasks | |

Table 3.1: Variables in the study

IV. Data Analysis and Findings

Ho1: There is no significant relationship between agricultural mobile app and farming success and profitability

| Correlations | | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | Farming success and |
| | | Agricultural mobile app | profitability |
| | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .658** |
| | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .000 |
| Agricultural mobile app | Ν | 500 | 500 |
| | Pearson Correlation | .658** | 1 |
| Farming success and | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | |
| profitability | Ν | 500 | 500 |
| **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). | | | |

Table 1: Hypothesis-01 testing table

Corelation table shows that relation between variables is positive and above .500, which can be considered as strong corelation. Further, considering other variables of the study as predictor, the value for R is calculated. that also shows significant relation among variables. So, the null hypothesis is rejected and it can be said that, there is significant relationship between agricultural mobile app and farming success and profitability.

H₀2: There is no significant relationship between digitalization of agricultural finance and farming success and profitability

| Correlations | | | |
|--|---------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| | | Digitalization of agricultural finance | Farming success and profitability |
| | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .519** |
| Digitalization of | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .000 |
| agricultural finance | Ν | 500 | 500 |
| | Pearson Correlation | .519** | 1 |
| Farming success and | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | |
| profitability | Ν | 500 | 500 |
| **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). | | | |

Table 4.2: Hypothesis-02 testing table

Corelation table shows that relation between variables is positive and above .500, which can be considered as strong corelation. Further, considering other variables of the study as predictor, the value for R is calculated. that also shows significant relation among variables. So, the null hypothesis is rejected and it can be said that, there is significant relationship between digitalization of agricultural finance and farming success and profitability.

 H_03 : There is no significant relationship between digitalization of agricultural decision making and farming success and profitability

| Correlations | | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | | Agricultural decision | Farming success and |
| | | making | profitability |
| | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .558** |
| Agricultural decision | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .000 |
| making | Ν | 500 | 500 |
| | Pearson Correlation | .558** | 1 |
| Farming success and | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | |
| profitability | Ν | 500 | 500 |
| **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). | | | |

Table 4.3: Hypothesis-03 testing table

Corelation table shows that relation between variables is positive and above .500, which can be considered as strong corelation. Further, considering other variables of the study as predictor, the value for R is calculated. that also shows significant relation among variables. So, the null hypothesis is rejected and it can be said that, there is significant relationship between digitalization of agricultural decision making and farming success and profitability.

 H_04 : There is no significant relationship between digitalization of market access and farming success and profitability

| Correlations | | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Digitalization of market | Farming success and |
| | | access | profitability |
| | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .558** |
| Digitalization of market | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .000 |
| access | Ν | 500 | 500 |
| | Pearson Correlation | .558** | 1 |
| Farming success and | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | |
| profitability | Ν | 500 | 500 |
| **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). | | | |

Table 4.4: Hypothesis-04 testing table

Corelation table shows that relation between variables is positive and above .500, which can be considered as strong corelation. Further, considering other variables of the study as predictor, the value for R is calculated. that also shows significant relation among variables. So, the null hypothesis is rejected and it can be said that, there is significant relationship between digitalization of market access and farming success and profitability.

H₀**5:** There is no significant relationship between digitalization of routine agricultural tasks and farming success and profitability

| Correlations | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Digitalization of routine | Farming success and |
| | | agricultural tasks | profitability |
| | Pearson Correlation | 1 | .558** |
| Digitalization of routine | Sig. (2-tailed) | | .000 |
| agricultural tasks | Ν | 500 | 500 |
| | Pearson Correlation | .558** | 1 |
| Farming success and | Sig. (2-tailed) | .000 | |
| profitability | Ν | 500 | 500 |
| **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed). | | | |

Table 4.5: Hypothesis-05 testing table

Corelation table shows that relation between variables is positive and above .500, which can be considered as strong corelation. Further, considering other variables of the study as predictor, the value for R is calculated. that also shows significant relation among variables. So, the null hypothesis is rejected and it can be said that, there is significant relationship between digitalization of routine agricultural tasks and farming success and profitability.

| Model Summary | | | | |
|---|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate |
| 1 | .788 ^a | .621 | .617 | 3.09804 |
| a. Predictors: (Constant), Employee Performance, Motivation, Knowledge Sharing, Innovative work | | | | |
| Behaviour, Transformational Leadership | | | | |

Table 4.6: Model Summary

The model summary shows that the reciprocate relation of considered variable in the study is significant with transformational leadership. So, the null hypothesis is rejected and it can be said as, there is significant impact of digitalization and different aspects of framing that have been considered in this study.

V. Conclusion

The researcher identifies digitalization as a beacon of hope for Indian farmers and suggests several measures based on the study's findings. Key areas where agricultural apps can improve farming practices include providing localized weather forecasts, monitoring soil health, managing pests and diseases, offering real-time market information, and providing access to financial services like loans and insurance. These apps can empower farmers with education on sustainable practices and emerging technologies, ultimately enhancing productivity, profitability, and sustainability. Additionally, the digitalization of agricultural finance offers key benefits such as streamlined access to credit, financial inclusion, transparent transactions, real-time financial tracking, and improved access to government schemes. By facilitating easier access to financing, digital platforms encourage the adoption of modern technologies, boosting productivity and sustainability. Digital decision-making tools and market access platforms can also help farmers optimize resource use, increase efficiency, and reduce dependence on middlemen, leading to better financial outcomes. Furthermore, the researcher highlights the need to prioritize agricultural education within India's National Education Policy (NEP), as it can foster innovation, address challenges like climate change and resource scarcity, and contribute to rural development. By integrating agricultural studies with other disciplines, the NEP can create a holistic understanding of the agricultural ecosystem, ensuring better-informed farmers and more effective policy-making.

The adoption of digital technologies in agriculture marks a transformative shift that holds great potential for improving farming practices and enhancing the socioeconomic conditions of farmers in India. This study explored key aspects of digitalization, including agricultural mobile apps, digital finance, decisionmaking tools, market access, and the automation of routine tasks. The research found a strong link between these digital tools and farming success, with agricultural apps standing out as particularly impactful. These apps empower farmers by providing real-time information on crop management, pest control, and market trends, leading to better decision-making and increased productivity. Digital financial services also play a crucial role by giving farmers access to credit, insurance, and investment opportunities, enabling them to invest in modern farming tools and techniques. Additionally, digital decision-making tools allow farmers to analyze weather, soil conditions, and market dynamics, facilitating strategic planning and risk management. The study highlights how digital platforms enhance market access, enabling farmers to reach broader markets, negotiate better prices, and boost their incomes. The automation of routine tasks, such as irrigation and pest control, further improves efficiency and resource management, reducing labor and costs. In conclusion, digital technologies are key to optimizing farming practices and improving the livelihoods of farmers in India. The study calls for collaboration among stakeholders, including governments and tech developers, to create accessible digital solutions that

support sustainable agriculture and economic growth in rural areas. Future research should focus on the long-term impacts of digitalization and ways to ensure equitable access to these technologies across the farming community.

References

- 1) https://www.ibef.org/industry/agriculture-india, Last accessed Jan 11, 2024
- 2) Javaid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., & Suman, R. (2022). Enhancing smart farming through the applications of Agriculture 4.0 technologies. *International Journal of Intelligent Networks*, *3*, 150-164.
- 3) Carrer, M. J., de Souza Filho, H. M., Vinholis, M. D. M. B., & Mozambani, C. I. (2022). Precision agriculture adoption and technical efficiency: An analysis of sugarcane farms in Brazil. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *177*, 121510.
- 4) Goswami, R., Dutta, S., Misra, S., Dasgupta, S., Chakraborty, S., Mallick, K., ... & Majumdar, K. (2023). Whither digital agriculture in India?. *Crop and Pasture Science*.
- 5) Balkrishna, A., Pathak, R., Kumar, S., Arya, V., & Singh, S. K. (2023). A comprehensive analysis of the advances in Indian Digital Agricultural architecture. *Smart Agricultural Technology*, *5*, 100318.
- 6) Singh, N. K., Sunitha, N. H., Tripathi, G., Saikanth, D. R. K., Sharma, A., Jose, A. E., & Mary, M. V. (2023). Impact of Digital Technologies in Agricultural Extension. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*, *41*(9), 963-970.
- 7) Fuentes-Peñailillo, F., Gutter, K., Vega, R., & Silva, G. C. (2024). Transformative technologies in digital agriculture: Leveraging Internet of Things, remote sensing, and artificial intelligence for smart crop management. *Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks*, *13*(4), 39.
- 8) Saikanth, D. R. K., Ragini, M., Tripathi, G., Rajesh, K., Giri, A., Pandey, S. K., & Verma, L. K. (2024). The Impact of Emerging Technologies on Sustainable Agriculture and Rural Development. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, *14*(1), 253-263.
- 9) Jaiswal, G. (2018). Business Viability of Street Vending: A Study of Various Factors of Pest Model of Street Vending in The Geographical Area of Uttar Pradesh, Journal of Management Research and Analysis (JMRA), ISSN: 2394-2770, Impact Factor: 4.878, Volume 05 Issue 02, June 2018, Pages: 25-28
- 10) Gupta, S. (2015). Compliance of Accounting Standards in Central Higher Educational Institutions in India.
- 11) Jaiswal, G. (2021). Impact Of Advertising Appeal on Branding of Consumer Goods in The Contemporary World. *HYPOTHESIS -National Journal of Research in Higher Studies* Volume- IV, Issue 1, January 2021 – June 2021 ISSN-2581-8953, available on https://ejournal.theiihs.com/paper/VolumeIVIssue1/1.pdf
- 12) Jaiswal, G. (2020). A quantitative evaluation of customer marketing impact by artificial intelligence in hospitality industry. *Pranjana: The Journal of Management Awareness*, 23(2), 40-44.
- 13) Gupta, S., & Kumar, P. (2018) PERCEPTION OF ACCOUNTING PROFESSIONALS OF HIGHER EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS IN INDIA REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF ACCRUAL ACCOUNTING.
- 14) Lioutas, E. D., Charatsari, C., & De Rosa, M. (2021). Digitalization of agriculture: A way to solve the food problem or a trolley dilemma?. *Technology in Society*, *67*, 101744.
- 15) Ganasan, N. M. (2021). TECHNOLOGICAL BREAKTHROUGHS IN THE DIGITALIZATION OF AGRICULTURAL INDUSTRY. *Innovative Business Practices In Digital Era*, 25.
- 16) Subeesh, A., & Mehta, C. R. (2021). Automation and digitization of agriculture using artificial intelligence and internet of things. *Artificial Intelligence in Agriculture*, *5*, 278-291.
- 17) Garg, M., & Singhal, S. (2022). Digitalization in Agricultural Marketing: The Future of India. *ECS Transactions*, *107*(1), 9793.
- 18) Singh, A. K. (2022). Precision agriculture in india–opportunities and challenges. *Indian Journal of Fertilisers*, *18*(4), 308-331.
- 19) Kumar, R., Sinwar, D., Pandey, A., Tadele, T., Singh, V., & Raghuwanshi, G. (2022). IoT enabled technologies in smart farming and challenges for adoption. *Internet of Things and Analytics for Agriculture, Volume 3*, 141-164.